

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,174	09/30/2003		Paul Anthony Rhea	60046.0024US01 5913	
75	90	09/27/2006		EXAMINER	
LEONARD J.			BONURA, TIMOTHY M		
THE HOPE LAW FIRM P.O. BOX 2825 ATLANTA, GA 30301				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2114	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T					
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/675,174	RHEA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tim Bonura	2114				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Se	eptember 2003.					
,	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	J (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/11/05 & 9/18/06.	6) Other:	aton reprioritori				

Application/Control Number: 10/675,174 Page 2

Art Unit: 2114

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-5, 7-18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated
 by U.S. Keys, et al, 6,516,427.

 Claims 6 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Keys, et al, 6,516,427.
- 3. Regarding claim 1:
 - a. Regarding the limitation of "utilizing server-side entry points in providing diagnostics on-demand," Keys disclose a system that can issue a request of diagnostic assistance upon detection of a failure. (Lines 30-36 of Column 4).
 - b. Regarding the limitation of "receiving a request to perform a diagnostic on a client computer," Keys disclose a system receives the request (see step 58 in figure 2b) at the interactive diagnostic procedure. (Lines 41-45 of Column 4).
 - c. Regarding the limitation of "in response to the request, calling an entry point provided at a server computer for performing functions related to executing a diagnostic.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,174

Art Unit: 2114

the called entry point operative to provide a configuration file identifying one or more additional entry points at the server computer," Keys disclose a system in which a JBM diagnostic subroutine is dispatched and executed. The subroutine diagnostic routine is a JVM implemented routine that relies upon the systems Java language to run. (Lines 61-67 of Column 4, and Lines 1-5 and 19-21 of Column 5).

Page 3

- d. Regarding the limitation of "calling a one of the entry points identified in the configuration file to obtain authorization to download a diagnostics module for testing a single hardware component of the client computer," Keys disclose a system in which the HTTP client service can be requested to send more sub-diagnostic routines upon completion of the interactive diagnostic procedure. (Lines 1-15 of Column 5).
- e. Regarding the limitation of "in response to receiving the authorization to download the diagnostics module, calling a one of the entry points identified in the configuration file to download the diagnostics module; installing the diagnostics module once it has been downloaded," Keys disclose a system a JVM implementable diagnostic device that can be downloaded and run on a computer system. (Lines 15-20 of Column 5).
- 4. Regarding claim 2, Keyes discloses a system in which the interactive diagnostic procedure responds to a request by dispatching a message to the JVM to run a diagnostic subroutine and executing the subroutine. (Lines 41-46 of Column 4).
- 5. Regarding claim 3, Keyes discloses a system wherein the diagnostic procedure either cause a download of another diagnostic subroutine or outputs a message indicating the problem upon completion of its routine. (Lines 5-15 of Column 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/675,174

Art Unit: 2114

6. Regarding claim 4, Keyes discloses a system wherein the diagnostic procedure either cause a download of another diagnostic subroutine or outputs a message indicating the problem upon completion of its routine. (Lines 5-15 of Column 5).

Page 4

- 7. Regarding claim 5, Keyes discloses a system wherein the JVM is able to install the diagnostic routine on any computer because of the platform independence of the JAVA language. Thereby, the JAVA language provides the means for installing the subroutine for diagnostic. (Lines 15-22 of Column 5).
- 8. Regarding claim 7, Keyes discloses a system that is a computer-implemented device. (Lines 8-18 of Column 4).
- 9. Regarding claim 8, Keys disclose a system receives the request (see step 58 in figure 2b) at the interactive diagnostic procedure. (Lines 41-45 of Column 4). Keys disclose a system a JVM implementable diagnostic device that can be downloaded and run on a computer system. (Lines 15-20 of Column 5).
- 10. Regarding claim 9, Keyes discloses a system wherein the JVM is able to install the diagnostic routine on any computer because of the platform independence of the JAVA language. Thereby, the JAVA language provides the means for installing the subroutine for diagnostic. (Lines 15-22 of Column 5).
- 11. Regarding claim 10, Keyes disclose a system a JVM implementable diagnostic device that can be downloaded and run on a computer system. (Lines 15-20 of Column 5).
- 12. Regarding claim 11, Keyes discloses a system with HTTP connections for transmitting the data. It is inherent that HTTP messages have network addresses.
- 13. Regarding claim 12, Keyes discloses a system in which a HTTP message is sent to an RDD (which is a network computer device) to send a diagnostic routine. (Lines 8-18 and 41-48 of Column 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/675,174 Page 5

Art Unit: 2114

14. Regarding claim 13, Keyes discloses a system wherein the diagnostic procedure either cause a download of another diagnostic subroutine or outputs a message indicating the problem upon completion of its routine. (Lines 5-15 of Column 5).

- 15. Regarding claim 14, Keyes discloses a system wherein the diagnostic procedure either cause a download of another diagnostic subroutine or outputs a message indicating the problem upon completion of its routine. (Lines 5-15 of Column 5).
- 16. Regarding claim 15:
 - f. Regarding the limitation of "providing an entry point at a server computer for retrieving a configure file identifying one or more additional entry points at the server computer for use in providing diagnostic on-demand," Keys disclose a system in which a JBM diagnostic subroutine is dispatched and executed. The subroutine diagnostic routine is a JVM implemented routine that relies upon the systems Java language to run. (Lines 61-67 of Column 4, and Lines 1-5 and 19-21 of Column 5).
 - g. Regarding the limitation of "receiving a request at the entry point for providing the configuration file and returning the configuration file in response to the request" Keys disclose a system a JVM implementable diagnostic device that can be downloaded and run on a computer system. (Lines 15-20 of Column 5).
- 17. Regarding claim 16, Keyes discloses a system in which the interactive diagnostic procedure responds to a request by dispatching a message to the JVM to run a diagnostic subroutine and executing the subroutine. (Lines 41-46 of Column 4).
- 18. Regarding claim 17, Keyes discloses a system wherein the diagnostic procedure either cause a download of another diagnostic subroutine or outputs a message indicating the problem upon completion of its routine. (Lines 5-15 of Column 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/675,174 Page 6

Art Unit: 2114

19. Regarding claim 18, Keyes discloses a system wherein the JVM is able to install the diagnostic routine on any computer because of the platform independence of the JAVA language. Thereby, the JAVA language provides the means for installing the subroutine for diagnostic. (Lines 15-22 of Column 5).

20. Regarding claim 20, Keyes discloses a system that is a computer-implemented device. (Lines 8-18 of Column 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

21. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 22. Claims 6 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 23. Regarding claims 6 and 19, the claims recite a "computer-readable medium" which (according to the spec. on page 6) can embody a non-statutory subject matter of transmission medium. (The examiner notes a simply amendment to the defined computer storage media, as defined on page 6, will over come this rejection).

Conclusion

- 24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Tim Bonura**.
 - o The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 8:30-5:00.
 - o The examiner can be reached at: 571-272-3654.
- 25. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact the examiner's supervisor, **Scott Baderman**.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,174

Art Unit: 2114

o The supervisor can be reached on 571-272-3644.

26. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned are:

o 703-872-9306 for all patent related correspondence by FAX.

Page 7

27. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov/. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

28. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is: 571-272-2100.

29. Responses should be mailed to:

o Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Tim Bonura Examiner Art Unit 2114

September 22, 2006